

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/771,563	CREWS, JAMES B.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) David L. Mossman.

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2006

Time: ~ 2:50 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
rejections of record.

Claims discussed:
1, 4, 6, 8-9, 12-13, and 25-28.


Prior art documents discussed:
Smith et al.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

discussed the scope of the claims and gels as borate crosslinked. Examiner proposed amendments to more clearly define the invention and to remove formal issues. Applicants' representative authorized said changes by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 DANIEL S. METZMAIER
 PRIMARY EXAMINER
 ART UNIT 1712

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)